

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2005

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/749,134 | 12/30/2003 | Angelo Magri' | 02CT20753422 | 3843 |
| 27975 | 7590 06/03/2005 | EXAMINER | | |
| | YER, DOPPELT, MILE | FORDE, REMMON R | | |
| 1401 CITRUS CENTER 255 SOUTH ORANGE AVENUE P.O. BOX 3791 ORLANDO, FL 32802-3791 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2826 | |
| | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | _ | • | |
|-----|---|----|----|----|
| | | 7 | ٠, | į, |
| | ~ | 7 | u | • |
| - 1 | _ | ٠. | _ | |

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summan | 10/749,134 | MAGRI' ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Remmon R. Fordé | 2826 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | • | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ma | arch 2005. | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This | This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan | ce except for formal matters, pro | secution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 3 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 15-50 is/are pending in the application |). | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-23</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24-37</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>38,40 and 41</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) 39 and 42-50 is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 August 2004 is/are: | a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected t | o by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>06/24/2004</u> . 6) Other: | | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Response To Election

The Examiner hereby acknowledges Applicant's election with traverse of claims 24-50 in correspondence dated 03/14/2005. The traversal is on the ground(s) that both groups of claims could be searched together without undue additional effort by the examiner. This is not found persuasive because there still is an undue burden on the Examiner as pointed out in correspondence dated 2/25/2005. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 40 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

On line 2, "the enrichment region" lacks proper antecedent basis.

Art Unit: 2826

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 38, 41 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yun et al..

Regarding claim 38, referencing Figures 3 and 5-9, Yun et al. discloses a method of making a MOSFET semiconductor device comprising: forming spaced apart source and drain areas (340) in a semiconductor substrate (300) and defining a JFET area there between, the JFET area also forming a channel between the source and drain areas; forming a gate oxide (350) on the semiconductor substrate and comprising forming a first portion having a first thickness on the source and drain areas and at a periphery of the JFET area, and forming a second portion (355) having a second thickness on a central area of the JFET area, the second thickness being greater than the first. (Paragraphs [0032] – [0037] and [0038] – [0045].)

Regarding claim 41, referencing Figures 3 and 5-9, Yun et al. further discloses that the interface between the first and second portions of the gate oxide (350) has a tapered thickness. (Paragraph [0034].)

Application/Control Number: 10/749,134

Art Unit: 2826

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 24-37 are allowed.

Claims 39 and 42-50 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Relevant Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Nishimura, Long et al. and Nakayama et al. each disclose MOSFET devices made with gate insulators with varying thicknesses.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Remmon R. Fordé whose telephone number is (571) 272-1916. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:00-6:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,134 Page 5

Art Unit: 2826

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Remmon R. Fordé

NATHAN J. PLYNN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TO PROTECT OF CENTER 2800